

een

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/710,127	06/21/2004	Mikio SAKAUE	040284	4126
23850 7590 06/13/2007 ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS, HANSON & BROOKS, LLP 1725 K STREET, NW			EXAMINER	
			SINGH, HIRDEPAL	
SUITE 1000 WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•	,		2611	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		06/13/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			cer			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/710,127	SAKAUE ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Hirdepal Singh	2609			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tid d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21.	June 2004.				
·		is action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 June 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applica iority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No /ed in this National Stage			
Attachmer	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar	y (PTO-413)			
2) Notice 3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 6/29/2004.	Paper No(s)/Mail [5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date			

DETAILED ACTION

This action is in response to the filing date of June 21, 2004. Claims 1-10 are pending and have been considered below.

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609.04(a) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-4, and 6-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rouquette (US 6,975,673) in view of Souissi et al. (US 5,671,247).

Claim 1: Rouquette discloses a spread spectrum communication system device for receiving a spread spectrum communication signal (abstract; column 2, lines 61-67) comprising;

- a. an analog to digital converter i.e. A/D converting the received signal to digital data (column 4, lines 34-40; figure 1b);
- b. transforming the A/D output in the fast Fourier transformer for detecting the interference signal in the spectrum of the received signal (column 7, lines 43-48; column 5, lines 63-67; figure 3);
- c. a noise eliminator eliminating the frequency component of interference signal i.e. frequency of narrow band jammer is excised (column 6, lines 1-4; column 5, lines 20-24);
- d. an inverse Fast Fourier transformer to transform the output of noise eliminator back to time domain (column 7, lines 55-61; figure 8);
- e. Rouquette doesn't explicitly disclose an inverse spread processor for despreading the output of inverse Fast Fourier transformer. However, Souissi discloses a similar method and apparatus for interference suppression in spread spectrum signals, and further discloses that after interference suppression the signal is de-spread or inverse spread and then de-modulated (column 7, lines 14-32; figures 4-6). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the de-spreader in Rouquette in order to obtain the original base band signal for proper demodulation or detection;

f. Rouquette further discloses a demodulator for demodulating the signal to get the complete signal (column 5, lines 30-35).

Claim 2: Rouquette and Souissi disclose a method and device for receiving a spread spectrum communication signal as in claim 1 above, and Rouquette further discloses a spread spectrum communication receiving device as in claim 1 above, and further discloses that the noise eliminator eliminates the interference component with power greater than a predetermined value (column 6, lines 29-34).

Claim 3: Rouquette and Souissi disclose a method and device for receiving a spread spectrum communication signal as in claim 1 above, and Rouquette further discloses a spread spectrum communication receiving device as in claim 1 above, and further discloses that the noise eliminator decides whether the energy or power of the received signal is larger than a predetermined value and eliminate or excise the frequency component with energy greater than predetermined value (column 6, lines 12-45; figure 4).

Claim 4: Rouquette and Souissi disclose a method and device for receiving a spread spectrum communication signal as in claim 1 above, and Rouquette further discloses a spread spectrum communication receiving device as in claim 1 above, and further discloses that a multiplier multiplies the outputs of A/D converter and a window function

and the resultant signal output of the multiplier is fed to fast Fourier transformer (figures 1B, 3; column 5, lines 52-65).

Claim 6: Rouguette and Souissi disclose a method and device for receiving a spread spectrum communication signal as in claim 1 above, and Rouquette further discloses a spread spectrum communication receiving device as in claim 1 above, and further discloses that the receiving device converts the received signal directly to base band in a direct conversion system or allows the signal to remain in a near base band (column 4, lines 35-39, and 60-67).

Claim 7: Rouquette and Souissi disclose a method and device for receiving a spread spectrum communication signal as in claim 1 above, and Rouquette further discloses a spread spectrum communication receiving device as in claim 1 above, and further discloses that the received signal is converted to base band before being fed to the A/D converter (column 3, lines 17-21; column 4, lines 34-40). it is inherent that there is a functional block i.e. a frequency converter or mixer for converting the received signal to base band.

Claim 8: Rouquette discloses a spread spectrum communication device having narrow band interference rejection for receiving a spread spectrum communication signal (abstract; column 2, lines 61-67) comprising;

a. an analog to digital converter A/D converting the received base band signal to digital data (column 4, lines 34-40; figure 1b);

b. fast Fourier transforming the received signal from A/D converter and detecting and eliminating single frequency and narrow band interference components from received signal spectrum in the digital circuit of the receiver (figures 1B, and 3; column 4, lines 34-42; column 7, lines 43-48);

c. signal processing of the output of fast Fourier transformer to bring it back to time domain by inverse fast Fourier transforming (figures 9-12; column 3, lines 35-44; column 7, lines 43-65), but Rouquette doesn't explicitly disclose an inverse spread processor for de-spreading the output of inverse Fast Fourier transformer. However, Souissi discloses a similar method and apparatus for interference suppression in spread spectrum signals, and further discloses that after interference suppression the signal is de-spread or inverse spread and then de-modulated (column 7, lines 14-32; figures 4-6). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the de-spreader in Rouquette in order to obtain the original base band signal for proper demodulation or detection

Claim 9: Rouquette and Souissi disclose a method and device for receiving a spread spectrum communication signal as in claim 8 above, and Rouquette further discloses a spread spectrum communication system receiving device having narrow band interference rejection for receiving a spread spectrum communication signal as in claim 8 above, and further discloses that the processing circuit may be a digital signal

processor DSP, or an IC integrated circuit, or a micro processor (column 5, lines 8-16; column 3, lines 12-15).

Claim 10: Rouquette and Souissi disclose a method and device for receiving a spread spectrum communication signal as in claim 8 above, and Rouquette further discloses that;

- a. the device comprises detecting the interference signal component after fast Fourier transforming the received signal (column 7, lines 30-50). The reference does not use the word detector for detection of interference, but it is inherent that the interference signal component detection is certainly done by a detector;
- b. a noise eliminator eliminating the frequency component of interference signal i.e. frequency of narrow band jammer is excised (column 6, lines 1-4; column 5, lines 20-24).
- 4. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rouguette (US 6,975,673) in view of Souissi et al. (US 5,671,247) further in view of Rakib et al. (US 6,426,983).

Claim 5: Rouguette and Souissi disclose a method and device for receiving a spread spectrum communication signal as in claim 4 above, but neither explicitly disclose that the window function is for preventing a side lobe caused by fast Fourier transform. However, Rakib discloses a similar method and apparatus for narrow band interference

rejection, and further discloses that the side lobes present in the signal are minimized

by filter structure (figures 3, and 5; column 8, lines 47-67; column 9, lines 1-18).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time

the invention was made to use the band pass filters of Rakib as a window function to

minimize or prevent the side lobes present in signal caused by fast Fourier transform in

Rouquette. One would have been motivated to use the band pass filter window function

for preventing or minimizing the side lobes to improve the frequency response and to

make it easier to remove the interference components from the received signal.

Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- a. Nago (US 5,974,101) discloses a spread spectrum communication apparatus for narrow band interference elimination.
- b. Lesthievent (US 5,844,936) discloses a method and device for eliminating interference signals in spread spectrum link.
- c. Shattil (US 2003/0147655) discloses a system for spread spectrum communication.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hirdepal Singh whose telephone number is 571-270Application/Control Number: 10/710,127

Art Unit: 2611

1688. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (Alternate Friday Off)8:00AM-

5:00PMEST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ghayour Mohammed can be reached on 571-272-3021. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

. 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

HS

May 30, 2007

Ghayour Mohammed SPE 2611

Page 9